

Lazio	Petri	Skeen
Leach	Pickering	Smith (MI)
Lewis (CA)	Pitts	Smith (OR)
Lewis (KY)	Pombo	Smith (TX)
Livingston	Porter	Smith, Linda
Lucas	Portman	Snowbarger
Manzullo	Pryce (OH)	Solomon
McCollum	Radanovich	Souder
McCrary	Ramstad	Spence
McDade	Redmond	Stearns
McHugh	Regula	Stump
McInnis	Riggs	Sununu
McIntosh	Riley	Talent
McKeon	Roemer	Tauzin
Metcalf	Rogan	Taylor (MS)
Mica	Rogers	Thomas
Miller (FL)	Rohrabacher	Thornberry
Moran (KS)	Ros-Lehtinen	Thune
Morella	Roukema	Tiahrt
Myrick	Royce	Trafficant
Nethercutt	Ryun	Upton
Neumann	Salmon	Walsh
Ney	Sanford	Wamp
Northup	Saxton	Watkins
Norwood	Scarborough	Watts (OK)
Nussle	Schaefer, Dan	Weldon (FL)
Oxley	Schaffer, Bob	Weldon (PA)
Packard	Sensenbrenner	Weller
Pappas	Sessions	White
Parker	Shadegg	Whitfield
Paul	Shaw	Wicker
Paxon	Shays	Wolf
Pease	Shimkus	Young (FL)
Peterson (PA)	Shuster	

NOES—203

Abercrombie	Ford	McNulty
Ackerman	Frank (MA)	Meehan
Allen	Frost	Meek
Andrews	Furse	Menendez
Baesler	Gejdenson	Millender-
Baldacci	Gephardt	McDonald
Barcia	Goode	Minge
Barrett (WI)	Gordon	Mink
Becerra	Green	Moakley
Bentsen	Gutierrez	Mollohan
Berman	Hall (OH)	Moran (VA)
Berry	Hall (TX)	Murtha
Bishop	Hamilton	Nadler
Blagojevich	Harman	Neal
Bonior	Hastings (FL)	Oberstar
Borski	Hefner	Obey
Boswell	Hilliard	Olver
Boucher	Hinchey	Ortiz
Boyd	Hinojosa	Owens
Brown (CA)	Holden	Pallone
Brown (FL)	Hooley	Pascrell
Brown (OH)	Hoyer	Pastor
Capps	Jackson (IL)	Payne
Cardin	Jackson-Lee	Pelosi
Carson	(TX)	Peterson (MN)
Clay	Jefferson	Pickett
Clayton	John	Pomeroy
Clement	Johnson (WI)	Poshard
Clyburn	Kanjorski	Price (NC)
Condit	Kaptur	Quinn
Conyers	Kennedy (MA)	Rahall
Costello	Kennedy (RI)	Rangel
Coyne	Kennelly	Reyes
Cramer	Kildee	Rivers
Cummings	Kilpatrick	Rodriguez
Danner	Kind (WI)	Rothman
Davis (FL)	King (NY)	Roybal-Allard
Davis (IL)	Klecicka	Rush
DeFazio	Klink	Sabo
DeGette	Kucinich	Sanchez
Delahunt	LaFalce	Sanders
DeLauro	Lampson	Sandlin
Dellums	Lantos	Sawyer
Deutsch	Levin	Schumer
Dicks	Lewis (GA)	Scott
Dingell	Lipinski	Serrano
Dixon	LoBiondo	Sherman
Doggett	Lofgren	Sisisky
Dooley	Lowe	Skaggs
Doyle	Luther	Skelton
Edwards	Maloney (CT)	Slaughter
Engel	Maloney (NY)	Smith, Adam
English	Manton	Snyder
Eshoo	Markey	Spratt
Etheridge	Mascara	Stabenow
Evans	Matsui	Stenholm
Farr	McCarthy (MO)	Stokes
Fattah	McCarthy (NY)	Strickland
Fazio	McDermott	Stupak
Filner	McGovern	Tanner
Flake	McHale	Tauscher
Foglietta	McIntyre	Thompson
Forbes	McKinney	Thurman

Tierney	Visclosky	Wise
Torres	Waters	Woolsey
Towns	Watt (NC)	Wynn
Turner	Waxman	Yates
Velazquez	Wexler	
Vento	Weygand	

NOT VOTING—13

Blumenauer	Martinez	Stark
Crane	Miller (CA)	Taylor (NC)
Gonzalez	Molinari	Young (AK)
Johnson, E.B.	Schiff	
Linder	Smith (NJ)	

□ 1106

So the resolution was agreed to.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

PERSONAL EXPLANATION

Ms. EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, on rollcall No. 325, I was detained by constituents in my office. Had I been present, I would have voted "no."

ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1998

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. PEASE). Pursuant to House Resolution 194 and rule XXIII, the Chair declares the House in the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill, H.R. 2203

□ 1107

IN THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Accordingly the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H.R. 2203) making appropriations for energy and water development for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1998, and for other purposes, with Mr. OXLEY in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. When the Committee of the Whole rose on Thursday, July 24, 1997, the bill was open for amendment at any point.

Mr. FAZIO of California. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike the last word.

Mr. Chairman, I would like to divide the 5 minutes between myself and the gentleman from Oregon [Mr. DEFazio] in order to briefly discuss the amendment that is about to be voted on.

Mr. Chairman, I yield to the gentleman from Oregon [Mr. DEFazio].

Mr. DEFazio. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding to me.

Members will want to listen. This debate occurred late last night. It is confusing and they need to know what is happening. The gentleman from California [Mr. FAZIO] offered an amendment to the DeFazio-Petri amendment, which on its face would seem to restrict the expenditure of funds on the Animas-La Plata project, which a majority in this House voted last year to not fund.

The Animas-La Plata project, and many of my colleagues have heard of

it, is a proposed \$400 million plus water project with a .36 to 1 cost-benefit ratio. It is purported to provide a settlement to tribes. It does not. It is purported to do many other things it does not. But it does spend a lot of money.

What we did, Petri-DeFazio, last night was offered an amendment to say, no more funds should be expended on this project which has even been abandoned by its proponents. Its proponents have offered an alternative. The alternative has not had any hearings. It is not authorized. It has not been reviewed by the Bureau of Reclamation. That is progress. They have admitted this \$440 million boondoggle should not go forward.

What the Fazio amendment actually does is require that that project go forward. If read carefully, it starts out with a limitation, but what it does is limit funds to be expended for current authorized purposes, which is the \$440 million Animas-La Plata project, which even the proponents now admit should not go forward. There is almost \$9 million unspent at the Bureau of Reclamation, more than enough to go forward with the planning process, more than enough to develop an alternative.

Surely it cannot cost more than \$8 or \$9 million to have a planning process and develop an alternative to this project that will meet the obligations to the tribes and be more responsible.

Mr. PETRI. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. FAZIO of California. I yield to the gentleman from Wisconsin.

Mr. PETRI. Mr. Chairman, just to summarize, the issue that we will be voting on is whether we should continue to spend money on this project pending an agreement on a new scaled back project, or whether we should suspend acquisition and just have money for planning until the new project is agreed on.

If Members do not want to spend money until we have a new project, vote against Fazio and then vote for the underlying amendment, Petri-DeFazio. If they want to keep spending money, even though we do not have agreement and negotiations are going on, then vote for the substitute.

I urge Members to vote against the substitute and for the underlying amendment.

Mr. DEFazio. Mr. Chairman, if the gentleman will continue to yield, the gentleman is correct. Anybody who last year voted in the majority to not appropriate further funds for Animas-La Plata will want to vote against Fazio, I know this is a little confusing, and then vote for DeFazio-Petri, Petri-DeFazio.

This obfuscation, the wording of the Fazio amendment is obfuscation. It starts out with a limitation but it limits nothing. Having the gentleman from California [Mr. FAZIO] be the principal sponsor is even more confusing, and Members should in principle vote "no" on the Fazio amendment.